

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/935,462	· (08/22/2001	Lisa Dhar	495812000300 8990		
20872	7590	06/10/2003	,			
		ERSTER LLP	EXAMINER			
425 MARK				HARAN, JOHN T		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C.	A 94105-2482		maxi,	maxa, jone i	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1733	9	
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			mx-
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/935,462	DHAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	John T. Haran	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u>August 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-97</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-97</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			_
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		_ disapproved by the Examine	г.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· -		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0 0 440() (1) (0)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		A 11 (1 A)	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	яаge
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application ha	s been received.	
Attachment(s)	p	JU	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(se of Informal Patent Application (PTO	
S. Patent and Trademork Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/935,462

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-69, drawn to a method for forming a multilayer optical article, classified in class 156.
 - II. Claims 70-97, drawn to a multilayer article, classified in class 428.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as injection molding the adherent or laminating the layers together without the use of a first holder and second holder.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, and vice versa restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If Group I, claims 1-69 is elected then a further species election is required amongst the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/935,462

Art Unit: 1733

A. A method of making a multilayer article with two substrates and an optical article (appears to read on claims 1-29).

- B. A method of making a multilayer article with one substrate and an optical article (appears to read on claims 30-58).
- C. A method of making a multilayer article with an optical article (appears to read on claims 59-69).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

- 6. If Group II, claims 70-97 is elected then a further species election is required amongst the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A. A multilayer article with two substrates and an article (appears to read on claims 70-81).
- B. A multilayer article with one substrate and an article (appears to read on claims 82-93).
 - C. A multilayer article with an article (appears to read on claims 94-97).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,462

Art Unit: 1733

- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. A telephone call was made to Christopher Eide on 5/23/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/935,462

Art Unit: 1733

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John T. Haran** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0052**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

John T. Haran

0661.

June 9, 2003

CEAM CHUAN YAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

am Ok Sti